I. INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

The Arizona Judicial Branch consists of the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, the Superior Court, Justice of the Peace Courts, and Municipal Courts. The Supreme Court has administrative supervision over all courts in the state and the authority to make rules governing all procedural matters in any court.

The Arizona Judicial Council (AJC), established in 1990, assists the Supreme Court in developing and implementing policies that will provide central direction for court management, consistency in court operations, and coordination of services within the courts. Under the direction of the Chief Justice, the Administrative Office of the Courts provides the necessary support for the supervision and administration of all courts.

The Commission on Technology (COT), under whose auspices the Judicial Branch Information Technology Strategic Plan is developed, is a standing committee of the Arizona Judicial Council. The Commission plays both an advisory and a review role with respect to statewide technology policies, standards, and applications. The Information Technology Division of the Administrative Office of the Courts staffs the Commission and its subcommittees, and typically provides the technical resources for statewide technology projects.

Both the AJC and the COT are statewide, multi-disciplinary, governance groups having representation from all levels of the judicial branch, as well as the executive branch, the State Bar, local government, the legal community, and the general public.

The Arizona Judicial Branch has turned to technology as one means to meet its goal to provide an independent, accessible, and integrated judicial system in accordance with constitutional mandates. There are many compelling reasons that the court is looking to automation to meet today's demands for information and efficient processing. The following strategic plan maps out the future direction of Arizona's Judiciary in information technology architecture and projects for the three-year period including Fiscal Years 2016 through 2018.

The Arizona Judicial Branch is proud of its accomplishments in information technology over the 25 years since statewide efforts towards technology planning and statewide systems and standards began in earnest.

- Most juvenile court-related functions are automated on JOLTS (now being updated as JOLTSaz and integrated with a youth assessment tool to implement evidence-based practices).
- All superior courts are automated using the same, centrally supported and managed system, AJACS, apart from the high volume courts in Maricopa and Pima counties. Only a handful of limited jurisdiction courts continue to use legacy case management systems. Development of enhancements to AJACS to

- meet limited jurisdiction court requirements are now in use at the pilot municipal court, Apache Junction.
- Adult probation offices in all 15 counties continue to use the same statewide reporting and statistical data collection system and a second-generation adult probation tracking system. APETS, initially developed and implemented in Maricopa County, is implemented statewide, placing all adult probation information within a single database.
- A training program to support common court "best practices" processes and procedures has been developed and implemented. It addresses the automation training needs of the courts, providing both partial funding for staffing a training function in each county court system and also statewide training programs.
- A centralized repository of all court protective orders is available for query by law enforcement. A similar path is continuing to be pursued for arrest warrants throughout the state.
- Public access to case information consolidated from 153 Arizona courts is available via a central Internet location for lookup of cases by name or case number. In addition, certain courts in the state provide Internet access to information about cases within their jurisdiction. A subscription feature also exists for public case information. Efforts to enable public access to case-related documents online to the extent allowed by court rule are nearing completion.
- All clerks of the superior court continue digitizing paper filings using electronic
 document management systems. Several prominent limited jurisdiction courts
 have implemented standalone electronic document management systems, as
 well. Because document management is a key enabler for electronic case filing,
 the AOC has constructed a central document repository for both public access
 and enhancing courts' business continuity. More than 50 smaller limited
 jurisdiction courts are already employing the central solution, enabling their paper
 documents to be disposed of after quality assurance steps have been taken.
- Efforts to meet judges' needs for decision making using electronic documents are underway in two courts. As the digital tipping point is reached, judges will be provided the tools necessary to track and perform their vital work with the digital ecosystem.

The *Fiscal Year 2015 Accomplishments* section provides a detailed listing of last year's major information technology accomplishments.

The demands of the public to access court records, information sharing among the courts and other criminal justice agencies, plus the sheer volume and complexity of justice transactions are focusing the Judiciary on modernizing the courts' use of technology. The court continues addressing technology-hostile court rules and inconsistent local practices, especially in the arena of electronic case filing/access. In this era of reduced revenues, Court leadership continues to use technology to improve the effectiveness of court business processes as well as to improve the entire criminal justice system.

The Arizona Judicial Branch recognizes its role in the enhancement of the criminal justice system as a whole in the state. While much progress has been made within each criminal

justice function to improve operational effectiveness, it is now widely acknowledged that criminal justice agencies must collaborate to bring about much needed systemic improvements. The first project to address the justice integration initiative was the electronic reporting of criminal dispositions to the Department of Public Safety (DPS). Related projects are planned to improve the exchange of accurate data among the various criminal justice functions before submittal to the common criminal justice history files. Being central to the criminal justice system, the courts play a critical part in the successful accomplishment of local integration initiatives.

Electronic Document Management (EDM), which includes electronic filing, document imaging, and the integration of documents with other applications, remains an important initiative of the chief justice. An EDM project addresses both back- and front-office functions. Without a basic infrastructure made up of document repositories, software to manage them, and sufficient network bandwidth to support document transmissions, courts cannot begin to accept electronic documents from other agencies. Now that the basic infrastructure is in place, along with a systemic analysis to alter existing document handling and filing processes, courts are able to respond to requests to accept electronic filings from the law enforcement, prosecution, and legal communities. Efforts continue to enable process and technology changes that provide judicial officers with access to electronic documents from the bench, in chambers, and from remote locations.

New case management system development projects address replacement of two core systems, AZTEC and JOLTS, as they are approaching the end of the automation life cycle. Next-generation systems significantly reduce the level of clerical effort needed for data entry and update functions by enabling automated exchange of data among criminal justice agencies. Rather than placing all functionality within a single enterprise system controlled by the court, the integration model being pursued calls for loosely coupling disparate systems using defined standards for data exchange like GJXML and the NIEM as well as an enterprise service bus (ESB) for transaction-based services.

The 2016-2018 Information Technology (IT) Plan continues to support the core functionality of the existing statewide applications. In particular, the AZTEC case management system will be maintained and modified, as required, to provide its remaining user courts with benefits that will exceed the level of effort necessary to maintain it as it approaches the end of its long life. The new AJACS CMS will be maintained and enhanced to address legislated changes as well as critical business needs.

The Arizona Judicial Branch's IT Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2016-2018 offers a strategic direction for the information technology resources and activities in the Judiciary. It results from a formal planning process, which began with updates to various IT plans at the county level. These supporting plans are included in Appendix D. Rural courts' plans are now updated only every other year, following a decision by the Commission on Technology several years ago.

This plan first presents the Judiciary's business strategic initiatives. Those initiatives are defined in Sections III and IV. Then, the IT initiatives supporting these business needs are outlined.

The IT strategic initiatives are:

- Promote a Systemic Thinking Approach to Problem Solving with Technology
- Provide Infrastructure that Facilitates Effective Communication and Integration
- Enhance Security and Disaster Recovery to Protect Court Technology-Related Assets and the Reputation of the Judiciary
- Standardize Processes and Solutions to Improve Efficiency and Effectiveness
- Complete and Enhance Second-Generation Statewide Automation Projects
- Improve Data Exchange, Communications, and Public Access
- Digitize the Court Environment
- Provide Administrative Support Functions

Finally, major IT strategic projects are outlined.

The Commission on Technology and its subcommittees provide a strong, active force for directing technology efforts and funding. Its members deserve special thanks for the fine job they are doing in providing leadership in technology to the Arizona Judicial Branch. Members of Commission on Technology and its subcommittees, Court Automation Coordinating Committee, the Technical Advisory Council, and the Probation Automation Coordinating Committee are provided below.

COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY- (COT) 2014-2015 MEMBERSHIP LIST		
CHAIR HON. JOHN PELANDER Vice Chief Justice Arizona Supreme Court	STAFF STEWART BRUNER Strategic Planning Manager Arizona Supreme Court, AOC	
KENT BATTY Court Administrator Superior Court in Pima County	BENNETT EVAN COOPER Partner, Steptoe & Johnson AZ State Bar	
MICHAEL BAUMSTARK Deputy Administrative Director Arizona Supreme Court, AOC	RAYMOND BILLOTTE Court Administrator Superior Court in Maricopa County	
RANDOLPH A. BARTLETT Judge Superior Court in Mohave County	JOHN REZZO Information Technology Director Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.	
JOHN LUCAS IT Director/CIO, Graham County County Supervisors' Association of Arizona	DIANE JOHNSEN Chief Judge Court of Appeals, Division I	
MICHAEL JEANES Clerk of the Court Superior Court in Maricopa County	TIVO ROMERO Chief Probation Officer Santa Cruz County	
THOMAS L. SCHOAF Mayor, City of Litchfield Park League of Cities and Towns	ROXANNE K. SONG ONG Chief Presiding Judge (Retired) City of Phoenix Municipal Court	
GARY KRCMARIK Court Administrator Superior Court in Coconino County	MICHAEL MILLER Judge Court of Appeals, Division II	
VIRLYNN TINNELL Clerk of the Court & Jury Commissioner Mohave Superior Court	CHRISTOPHER HALE Court Administrator Tucson City Court	

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COUNCIL- (TAC) 2014-2015 Membership List		
CHAIR KARL HECKART Chief Information Officer, ITD Director Arizona Supreme Court, AOC		
MOHYEDDIN ABDULAZIZ Chief Information Officer Court of Appeals, Div II	RON BITTERLI Director of Information Technology Maricopa Superior Clerk of the Court	
JACKIE BARRETT Technology Program Manager Superior Court in Yavapai County	JAY DENNIS IT Manager Pima Consolidated Justice Court	
JENNIFER GILBERTSON IS Officer City of Phoenix Municipal Court	THOMAS MOSELEY Deputy CIO Maricopa Superior Court	
RANDY KENNEDY Court Automation Manager City of Scottsdale Municipal Court	CARY MEISTER Information Technology Manager Superior Court of Yuma County	
JARED NISHIMOTO Court IS Coordinator Superior Court in Coconino County	LAURA JOHNSTON IT Director Superior Court in Pima County	
PAUL COULOMBE Court Information Specialist Superior Court in Gila County	KYLE RIMEL Court Technology Services Director Superior Court in Mohave County	
JAMES TOWNER IT Director Court of Appeals, Div I	RJ HURLEY Technology Operations Director Superior Court in Pinal County	
	STAFF STEWART BRUNER Strategic Planning Manager Arizona Supreme Court, AOC	

COURT AUTOMATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE- (CACC) 2014-2015 Membership List		
CHAIR MICHAEL POLLARD Judge City of Tucson Municipal Court		
KIP ANDERSON Court Administrator Superior Court of Mohave County	CATHY CLARICH Deputy Director Maricopa County Clerk of the Superior Court	
JULIE DYBAS Deputy Court Administrator City of Scottsdale Municipal Court	CHRISTOPHER HALE Court Administrator City of Tucson Municipal Court	
DONALD JACOBSON Court Administrator City of Flagstaff Municipal Court	PHILLIP KNOX General Jurisdiction Court Administrator Superior Court in Maricopa County	
RONA NEWTON Director of IT and Research Pima County Juvenile Court Center	RON OVERHOLT Deputy Court Administrator Superior Court in Pima County	
JANIE RANDALL Court Administrator Cottonwood Municipal Court	JEFF MANGIS Chief Deputy Clerk Superior Court in Coconino County	
PAUL THOMAS Court Administrator City of Mesa Municipal Court		
	STAFF STEWART BRUNER Strategic Planning Manager Arizona Supreme Court, AOC	

PROBATION AUTOMATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE- (PACC) 2014-2015 Membership List		
CHAIR RONA NEWTON Director of IT and Research Pima County Juvenile Court Center		
BARBARA BRODERICK Chief Juvenile Probation Officer Maricopa County Adult Probation	DELCY G. SCULL Director Cochise County Juvenile Court Services	
DAVID F. SANDERS Chief Probation Officer Superior Court of Pima County	AMY STUART Information & Research Manager Arizona Supreme Court	
KIP ANDERSON Court Administrator Superior Court of Mohave County	PAULA TAYLOR APETS Business Manager Arizona Supreme Court	
	STAFF BOB MACON Probation Automation Manager Arizona Supreme Court, AOC	